

Press Unit Unité de la Presse

Press country profile Fiche pays pour la presse

Last updated: May 2024

Luxembourg

Ratified the European Convention on Human Rights in 1953

National Judge: Stéphane Pisani (2 May 2024 -) Judges' CVs are available on the ECHR Internet site

Previous Judges: Eugène Rodenbourg (1959-1975), Henri Delvaux (1976-1977), Léon Liesch (1977-1985), Alphonse Spielmann (1985-1998), Marc Fischbach (1998-2004), Dean Spielmann (2004-2015), Georges Ravarani (2015-2024).

List of judges of the Court since 1959

The Court dealt with 27 applications concerning Luxembourg in 2023, of which 25 were declared inadmissible or struck out. It delivered two judgments (concerning two applications), which found at least one violation of the European Convention on Human Rights.

Applications processed in	2021	2022	2023
Applications allocated to a judicial formation	30	35	28
Communicated to the Government	1	3	2
Applications decided:	35	38	27
- Declared inadmissible or struck out (Single Judge)	29	35	23
- Declared inadmissible or struck out (Committee)	1	2	2
- Declared inadmissible or struck out (Chamber)	0	0	0
- Decided by judgment	5	1	2

For information about the Court's judicial formations and procedure, see the <u>ECHR internet site</u>. Statistics on interim measures can be found <u>here</u>.

Applications pending before the court on 01/01/2024	
Applications pending before a judicial formation:	15
Single Judge	3
Committee (3 Judges)	11
Chamber (7 Judges)	1
Grand Chamber (17 Judges)	0

Luxembourg and ...

The Registry

The task of the Registry is to provide legal and administrative support to the Court in the exercise of its judicial functions. It is composed of lawyers, administrative and technical staff and translators. There are currently **618** Registry staff members.



Noteworthy cases, judgments delivered

Grand Chamber

Halet v. Luxembourg (no. 21884/18) 14.02.2023

The case concerned the disclosure by Mr Halet, while he was employed by a private company, of confidential documents protected by professional secrecy, comprising 14 tax returns of multinational companies and two covering letters, obtained from his workplace. Violation of Article 10

VIOLATION OF ALLICIE 10

Boulois v. Luxembourg

03.04.2012

The case concerned the refusal to grant temporary leave of absence ("prison leave") to a prisoner who had requested it several times, and the lack of a remedy by which to contest the authorities' decisions refusing the requests.

Article 6 is not applicable and that there are therefore been no violation of Article 6 of the European Convention on Human Rights.

Chamber

Right to life cases (Article 2)

Pereira Henriques v. Luxembourg

09.05.2006

Concerned a worker who was killed in an industrial accident. His wife and children complained that there had not been an effective investigation into the circumstances of the death of their husband and father.

Violation of Article 2 and Article 13 (right to an effective remedy)

No violation of Article 6 (right to a fair hearing)

Cases dealing with Article 6

Right to a fair hearing/trial

Ewert v. Luxembourg

22.07.2010

Concerned the seizure of a file, in the context of proceedings against the applicant, which contained correspondence with his lawyer. The applicant was sentenced to 20 years' imprisonment for the attempted murder of a businessman.

Violation of Article 6

No violation of Article 8 (right to respect for private and family life)

Procola v.Luxembourg

28.09.1995

Procola, a dairy constituted as an agricultural association, appealed against decisions fixing milk quotas. The Court found that four members of the *Conseil d'Etat* had successively performed advisory and judicial functions in the same case.

Violation of Article 6 (right to a fair hearing)

<u>Right to a fair hearing within a reasonable</u> <u>time</u>

<u>Kuhn v.Luxembourg</u>

04.11.2010

Concerned the length of civil proceedings in a trial concerning the crash of a Luxair aircraft in 2002. The applicants had lost their son and had joined the proceedings as a civil party.

Violation of Article 6 § 1

Leandro da Silva v. Luxembourg

11.02.2010

Concerned the excessive length of proceedings resulting from a dispute with the administrative authorities. The judgment marks a significant development of the situation with regard to the exhaustion of domestic remedies. Violation of Article 6 § 1

Right to assistance of counsel

A.T. v. Luxembourg (no. 30460/13)

09.04.2015

The case concerned the failure to provide A.T. with effective legal assistance after he was arrested under a European Arrest Warrant, during both the police interview and his first appearance before the investigating judge. Violation of Article 6 § 3 (c) (right to assistance of counsel) taken together with Article 6 § 1 (right to a fair trial) on account of a failure to provide legal assistance during a police interview No violation of Article 6 § 3 (c) taken

together with Article 6 § 1 as regards the lack of access to the case file prior to the applicant's first appearance before the investigating judge

Violation of Article 6 § 3 (c) taken together with Article 6 § 1 on account of the lack of communication between the applicant and his lawyer prior to his first appearance before the investigating judge

Freedom of expression cases (Article 10)

Saint-Paul Luxembourg S.A. v. Luxembourg

18.04.2013

The case concerned a search and seizure warrant issued by an investigating judge against a newspaper after the latter had published an article which was the subject of a complaint to the judicial authorities by an individual mentioned in the article and his employer.

Violation of Article 8 (right to respect for private and family life) Violation of Article 10

Backes v. Luxembourg

08.07.2008

The applicant is a former executive in the company Clearstream and the co-author of a book entitled *Revelations*. He was convicted for insulting a Luxembourg lawyer, to whom he had referred in a passage of the book.

No violation of Article 10 No violation of Article 6 (right to a fair trial)

Roemen and Schmit v. Luxembourg

25.02.2003

Concerned searches carried out in a journalist's home, his office and his lawyer's office after he wrote an article about a Luxembourg Minister accused of tax evasion.

Violation of Articles 10 and 8 (right to respect for private and family life)

<u>Thoma v. Luxembourg</u>

29.03.2001

Concerned the conviction of a journalist for quoting, during a radio programme, a fellow journalist who had written that all but one of the Water and Forestry commission officials were corruptible. Violation of Article 10

Cases dealing with property issues (Article 1 of Protocol No. 1)

Kemp and Others v. Luxembourg

24.04.2008

Concerned the State's acquisition in 1970 of plots of land that had belonged to the applicants' parents, for the purposes of building a new motorway, although its route ultimately diverged from the original plan. The applicants' request to recover the disputed plots of land was refused.

No violation of Article 1 of Protocol No. 1 Violation of Article 6 (right to a fair hearing)

Schneider v. Luxembourg

10.07.2007

Concerned the applicant's obligation to join a hunting association and allow hunters onto her land, whereas she was opposed to hunting for moral reasons.

Violation of Article 1 of Protocol No. 1 and of Article 11 (freedom of assembly and association)

Noteworthy cases, decisions delivered

Thilgen v. Luxembourg

10.03.2009

Concerned the Luxembourg authorities' obligation to investigate following a complaint filed by the applicant in relation to the death of his sister during emergency hospitalisation. He complained that the investigation had not been effective. Friendly settlement

Other noteworthy cases, judgments delivered

Wagner and J.M.W.L. v. Luxembourg

28.06.2007

Concerned the Luxembourg authorities' refusal to declare a Peruvian decision granting full adoption of a minor enforceable, on the ground that

Luxembourg law prohibited adoption by an unmarried person. Violation of Articles 6 (right to a fair trial) and 8 (right to respect for private and

family life), and of Article 14 (prohibition of

discrimination) taken in conjunction with Article 8

Contact Information +33 (0)3 90 21 42 08