

EUROPEAN COURT OF HUMAN RIGHTS
COUR EUROPÉENNE DES DROITS DE L'HOMME

Analysis of statistics 2013

January 2014

Table of contents

Overview of the Court's statistics in 2013	4
A. Number of new applications	4
B. Applications disposed of judicially and pending applications.....	4
C. Processing of applications	4
1. Pre-allocation	4
2. Inadmissibility or strike out decisions	4
3. Communicated applications.....	4
4. Judgments	4
5. Requests for interim measures (Rule 39 of the Rules of Court)	5
D. Priority policy	5
General information.....	6
Number of applications allocated to a judicial formation	7
Processing of applications	9
Country-specific information	14
Albania.....	14
Andorra.....	15
Armenia	16
Austria	17
Azerbaijan	18
Belgium.....	19
Bosnia and Herzegovina	20
Bulgaria	21
Croatia	22
Cyprus	23
Czech Republic.....	24
Denmark	25
Estonia.....	26
Finland.....	27
France.....	28
Georgia	29
Germany	30
Greece	31
Hungary	32
Iceland.....	33
Ireland.....	34
Italy.....	35
Latvia	36
Liechtenstein	37

Lithuania	38
Luxembourg	39
Malta	40
Republic of Moldova	41
Monaco	42
Montenegro	43
Netherlands	44
Norway	45
Poland.....	46
Portugal	47
Romania.....	48
Russia.....	49
San Marino	50
Serbia	51
Slovakia	52
Slovenia	53
Spain	54
Sweden.....	55
Switzerland.....	56
“The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia”	57
Turkey	58
Ukraine	59
United Kingdom.....	60

Overview of the Court's statistics in 2013

A. Number of new applications

In 2013 65,900 applications were allocated to a judicial formation, an overall increase of 2% compared with 2012 (64,900). 45,750 of these were identified as Single-Judge cases likely to be declared inadmissible (a decrease of 7% in relation to 2012). 20,150 were identified as probable Chamber or Committee¹ cases (an increase of 27%).

B. Applications disposed of judicially and pending applications

93,396 applications were disposed of judicially, an increase of 6% in relation to 2012 (87,879). The number of cases disposed of therefore exceeded those allocated by approximately 27,500 (i.e. a monthly surplus of almost 2,300). As a result, the stock of allocated applications pending before the Court decreased over the year, by 22% from 128,100 to 99,900.

C. Processing of applications

1. Pre-allocation

Before a case is allocated to a judicial formation applicants are required to complete the application form and submit the necessary supporting documents on pain of administrative disposal. As at 31 December 2013 the number of applications pending at this pre-judicial stage stood at 21,950, an increase of 8% in relation to the same date the previous year (20,300). The number of applications disposed of administratively in 2013 was 13,600, a decrease of 27%.

2. Inadmissibility or strike out decisions

In 2013 89,737 applications were declared inadmissible or struck out of the list of cases by a Single Judge, a Committee or a Chamber, a 4% increase compared with 2012 (86,201). The Single-Judge formation decided 80,583 cases in 2013, a decrease of 1% compared with 2012 (81,764). The number of applications struck out, in a decision or a judgment, following a friendly settlement or a unilateral declaration, decreased by 1% in 2013 (1,890 compared to 1,909 in 2012). Friendly settlements (1,481) increased by 14% (1,303 in 2012), but there were 33% fewer unilateral declarations (409 compared to 606 in 2012).

3. Communicated applications

Where an application is not on its face inadmissible, it is communicated to the respondent Government. In 2013 7,931 applications were communicated (an increase of 51% in relation to 2012 when 5,236 applications were communicated). This increase is due to the introduction of new working methods for well-established case-law cases in particular for Ukrainian or Serbian non-enforcement cases.

4. Judgments

Judgments were delivered in respect of 3,659 applications (compared with 1,678 in 2012 – an increase of 118%). A significant proportion of these applications were joined with the result that the number of judgments actually delivered was 916, a decrease of 16%. 219 judgments were adopted by a three-judge Committee formation under the procedure

¹ Committee competence provided for in Article 28 § 1 b) of the Convention.

introduced by Protocol 14. These judgments accounted for 75% of the applications. 81% of those applications concerned the Ukrainian non-enforcement cases.

5. Requests for interim measures (Rule 39 of the Rules of Court)

The total number of decisions on interim measures (1,591) decreased by 20% compared with 2012 (1,979). The Court granted requests for interim measures in 108 cases (an increase of 5% compared with 103 in 2012) and dismissed them in 817 cases (32% less than the 1,203 in 2012). The remainder fell outside the scope of Rule 39 of the Rules of Court.

D. Priority policy²

In June 2009 the Court adopted a priority policy establishing seven different categories. The essence of this policy is to concentrate more resources on the most important cases, namely the cases falling within the top three categories ("priority applications"). At 31 December 2013 there were 7,368 applications in these categories.

The number of priority applications dealt with in 2013 decreased by 14% compared to 2012. 17% less priority applications were communicated to the respondent Governments in comparison with 2012. The number of priority applications declared inadmissible or struck out of the list of cases by a Chamber or Committee decreased by 31%. A number of priority cases are awaiting leading decisions or judgments (in particular for expulsion cases) or the outcome of a pilot judgment (for example in conditions of detention cases). Another factor explaining the decrease compared to 2012 is that in that year a large number of cases concerning expulsion to Somalia were struck out. The number of priority applications struck out following a friendly settlement or a unilateral declaration rose from 158 in 2012 to 247 in 2013. The number of priority applications giving rise to a judgment decreased by 5% in 2013.

² An explanation of the Court's priority policy can be found on the Court's internet site http://www.echr.coe.int/Documents/Priority_policy_ENG.pdf

General information

Table 1 General statistical information

1. Applications allocated to a judicial formation [round figures (50)]	2013	2012	+/-
Applications allocated	65900	64900	2%

2. Interim procedural events	2013	2012	+/-
Applications communicated to respondent Government	7931	5236	51%

3. Applications decided	2013	2012	+/-
By decision or judgment	93396	87879	6%
- by judgment delivered	3659	1678	118%
- by decision (inadmissible or struck out)	89737	86201	4%

4. Pending applications [round figures (50)]	31/12/2013	1/1/2013	+/-
Applications pending before a judicial formation	99900	128100	-22%
- Chamber (7 judges)	39000	43050	-9%
- Committee (3 judges)	34400	25200	37%
- Single-Judge formation	26500	59850	-56%

5. Pre-judicial applications [round figures (50)]	31/12/2013	1/1/2013	+/-
Applications at a pre-judicial stage	21950	20300	8%
	2013	2012	+/-
Applications disposed of administratively (applications not pursued)	13600	18700	-27%

Number of applications allocated to a judicial formation³

Chart 1 Applications allocated to a judicial formation per year





Chart 2 Applications pending before a judicial formation



³ Figures represent the total number of applications, including joined applications. The document generally gives round figures. The upsurge in applications allocated in 2002 was due to a change in working methods, transferring much of the screening of inadmissible applications from the administrative, pre-judicial phase to the judicial stage.

Chart 3 High case-count States (more than 1,800 applications pending before a judicial formation)






Chart 4 The Court’s total caseload by stage of proceedings and judicial formation⁴



⁴ The “Pending Government action” category was created to monitor applications following a judgment applying the pilot procedure.

Chart 5 The Court’s total caseload by category



Processing of applications

Chart 6 Major procedural steps in processing of applications




Chart 7 Number of judgments since 2000




Chart 8 Applications in categories I, II and III processed from 2011 to 2013




Table 2 Applications allocated per Contracting State and population

State	Applications allocated to a judicial formation				Population (1000)				Allocated/population (10000)			
	2010	2011	2012	2013	1.1.2010	1.1.2011	1.1.2012	1.1.2013	2010	2011	2012	2013
Albania	94	84	111	106	3185	2832	3195	3195	0,30	0,30	0,35	0,33
Andorra	8	8	6	2	85	85	85	76	0,94	0,94	0,71	0,26
Armenia	197	173	238	196	3249	3263	3274	3274	0,61	0,53	0,73	0,60
Austria	438	387	377	437	8375	8404	8443	8452	0,52	0,46	0,45	0,52
Azerbaijan	335	501	342	325	8998	9111	9235	9356	0,37	0,55	0,37	0,35
Belgium	302	251	268	270	10840	11001	11041	11162	0,28	0,23	0,24	0,24
Bosnia and Herzegovina	661	508	430	871	3844	3843	3829	3829	1,72	1,32	1,12	2,27
Bulgaria	1350	1200	1274	1209	7564	7369	7327	7285	1,78	1,63	1,74	1,66
Croatia	992	1186	1905	1842	4426	4412	4398	4262	2,24	2,69	4,33	4,32
Cyprus	118	69	78	144	819	840	862	866	1,44	0,82	0,90	1,66
Czech Republic	604	522	547	481	10507	10487	10505	10516	0,57	0,50	0,52	0,46
Denmark	96	111	103	85	5535	5561	5581	5603	0,17	0,20	0,18	0,15
Estonia	266	346	301	237	1340	1340	1340	1325	1,99	2,58	2,25	1,79
Finland	379	431	314	315	5351	5375	5401	5427	0,71	0,80	0,58	0,58
France	1614	1594	1344	1538	64694	65048	65398	65633	0,25	0,25	0,21	0,23
Georgia	375	395	367	157	4436	4469	4498	4498	0,85	0,88	0,82	0,35
Germany	1681	1757	1492	1528	81802	81752	81844	82021	0,21	0,21	0,18	0,19
Greece	626	668	722	726	11305	11310	11291	11063	0,55	0,59	0,64	0,66
Hungary	437	654	735	990	10014	9986	9958	9909	0,44	0,65	0,74	1,00
Iceland	15	10	10	9	318	318	320	322	0,47	0,31	0,31	0,28
Ireland	62	54	55	61	4468	4570	4583	4591	0,14	0,12	0,12	0,13
Italy	3850	4708	3246	3184	60340	60626	60821	59685	0,64	0,78	0,53	0,53
Latvia	270	291	286	322	2248	2075	2042	2024	1,20	1,40	1,40	1,59
Liechtenstein	15	9	16	7	36	36	36	37	4,17	2,50	4,44	1,89
Lithuania	242	305	373	428	3329	3053	3008	2972	0,73	1,00	1,24	1,44
Luxemburg	45	24	31	38	502	512	525	537	0,90	0,47	0,59	0,71
Malta	23	22	26	50	414	415	416	421	0,56	0,53	0,63	1,19
Republic of Moldova	938	1017	934	1356	3564	3560	3560	3559	2,63	2,86	2,62	3,81
Monaco	14	8	5	10	33	33	36	36	4,24	2,42	1,39	2,78
Montenegro	306	314	180	293	616	618	618	623	4,97	5,08	2,91	4,70
Netherlands	687	800	675	778	16575	16656	16730	16780	0,41	0,48	0,40	0,46
Norway	87	155	101	148	4858	4920	4986	5051	0,18	0,32	0,20	0,29
Poland	5768	5022	4071	3990	38167	38530	38538	38533	1,51	1,30	1,06	1,04
Portugal	182	165	217	267	10638	10572	10542	10487	0,17	0,16	0,21	0,25
Romania	5818	5124	6768	5422	21462	21414	21356	20057	2,71	2,39	3,17	2,70
Russia	14293	12454	10746	12330	141915	142857	143056	143056	1,01	0,87	0,75	0,86
San Marino	4	0	1	4	31	32	32	34	1,29	0,00	0,31	1,18
Serbia	1567	3726	4894	5061	7307	7276	7241	7182	2,14	5,12	6,76	7,05
Slovak Republic	566	553	531	464	5425	5392	5404	5411	1,04	1,03	0,98	0,86
Slovenia	837	424	422	495	2047	2050	2055	2059	4,09	2,07	2,05	2,40
Spain	670	802	694	784	45989	46153	46196	46704	0,15	0,17	0,15	0,17
Sweden	1080	1942	546	362	9341	9416	9483	9556	1,16	2,06	0,58	0,38
Switzerland	368	358	326	445	7786	7870	7955	8039	0,47	0,45	0,41	0,55
"The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia"	399	352	350	539	2053	2057	2060	2062	1,94	1,71	1,70	2,61
Turkey	5724	8651	8986	3521	72561	73723	74724	75627	0,79	1,17	1,20	0,47
Ukraine	3953	4614	7791	13152	45783	45598	45453	45373	0,86	1,01	1,71	2,90
United Kingdom	2745	1542	1702	912	62027	62499	62990	63888	0,44	0,25	0,27	0,14
Total	61101	64291	64937	65891	816202	819319	822271	822458	0,75	0,78	0,79	0,80

SOURCES 2012 et 2013: Internet sites of the Eurostat service ("Population and social conditions") or from the United Nations Statistics Division.

The Council of Europe member States had a combined population of about 822 million inhabitants on 1 January 2013. The average number of applications allocated per 10,000 inhabitants was 0.80 in 2013.

Table 3 The Court's total caseload by stage of proceedings and judicial formation

State	Single Judge or Committee (cat. VI - VII)	Chamber or Committee - awaiting first examination (cat. I - V)	Communicated	Pending Government action	Admissible	TOTAL
Albania	94	170	159		4	427
Andorra	0	0	1		0	1
Armenia	116	758	68		1	943
Austria	89	72	43		9	213
Azerbaijan	84	1041	165		3	1293
Belgium	17	280	55		12	364
Bosnia and Herzegovina	813	385	69		5	1272
Bulgaria	1715	618	103		7	2443
Croatia	203	625	146		15	989
Cyprus	83	38	47		1	169
Czech Republic	472	81	33		5	591
Denmark	8	7	8		0	23
Estonia	288	35	14		3	340
Finland	127	31	34		5	197
France	244	255	131		15	645
Georgia	65	2223	163		2	2453
Germany	320	141	36		5	502
Greece	56	948	272		21	1297
Hungary	943	692	101		3	1739
Iceland	5	2	4		0	11
Ireland	11	4	5		2	22
Italy	650	12101	804	793	31	14379
Latvia	321	125	80		4	530
Liechtenstein	0	3	3		0	6
Lithuania	83	98	61		8	250
Luxembourg	6	4	2		1	13
Malta	13	35	16		0	64
Republic of Moldova	366	767	248	20	13	1414
Monaco	0	3	0		3	6
Montenegro	629	139	23		1	792
Netherlands	152	225	74		2	453
Norway	67	2	19		3	91
Poland	363	1015	258		12	1648
Portugal	25	149	56		2	232
Romania	564	1428	923	3197	61	6173
Russia	9499	4835	2251	126	102	16813
San Marino	1	3	1		0	5
Serbia	2667	7081	1435		47	11230
Slovakia	65	104	55		16	240
Slovenia	1519	173	84		6	1782
Spain	249	47	93		4	393
Sweden	26	27	33		7	93
Switzerland	76	121	64		10	271
"The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia"	42	169	129		5	345
Turkey	398	8453	1997		83	10931
Ukraine	2866	7992	2136		290	13284
United Kingdom	312	2119	83		5	2519
ALL STATES	26712	55624	12585	4136	834	99891


Table 4 Unilateral declarations and friendly settlements in the past three years

State	Unilateral declaration			Friendly settlement		
	2011	2012	2013	2011	2012	2013
Albania	1	2			1	1
Andorra						
Armenia	6	2				
Austria				8	1	1
Azerbaijan	1		3	2	1	11
Belgium	1					3
Bosnia and Herzegovina		3		78	43	176
Bulgaria	5	28	4	9	85	19
Croatia		6	3	11	18	31
Cyprus						
Czech Republic		7	20	10	4	12
Denmark					6	1
Estonia				2		1
Finland	1	4	1	2	8	3
France	1	4	2	7	2	2
Georgia		1	3	2	4	11
Germany		1	2	1	2	3
Greece				14	3	55
Hungary		2	3	45	53	73
Iceland						
Ireland				2	1	3
Italy			44	7	117	4
Latvia	1	1		3	1	
Liechtenstein	1					
Lithuania	1		1			
Luxembourg						
Malta		2				
Republic of Moldova	62	5	21	15	13	8
Monaco					1	
Montenegro					1	19
Netherlands			1		1	2
Norway						
Poland	44	40	41	154	111	93
Portugal	5	1	5	12	10	4
Romania	30	61	38	21	35	29
Russia	205	100	102	12	3	39
San Marino	1			1	1	
Serbia	10	3	9	69	415	679
Slovakia	2	3	4	29	9	23
Slovenia	2				1	
Spain						1
Sweden					1	
Switzerland						
The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia'	12	1	48	28	67	55
Turkey	39	14	27	115	99	86
Ukraine	262	313	23	161	178	28
United Kingdom	10	2	4	9	7	5
Grand Total	703	606	409	829	1303	1481


Country-specific information

Albania

Chart 9 The Court's caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 10 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Andorra

Chart 11 The Court's caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 12 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Armenia

Chart 13 The Court's caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 14 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Austria

Chart 15 The Court's caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 16 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Azerbaijan

Chart 17 The Court's caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 18 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Belgium

Chart 19 The Court's caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 20 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Bosnia and Herzegovina

Chart 21 The Court's caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 22 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Bulgaria

Chart 23 The Court's caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 24 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Croatia

Chart 25 The Court's caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 26 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Cyprus

Chart 27 The Court's caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 28 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Czech Republic

Chart 29 The Court's caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 30 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Denmark

Chart 31 The Court's caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 32 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Estonia

Chart 33 The Court's caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 34 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Finland

Chart 35 The Court's caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 36 Major procedural steps in processing applications




France

Chart 37 The Court's caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 38 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Georgia

Chart 39 The Court's caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 40 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Germany

Chart 41 The Court's caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 42 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Greece

Chart 43 The Court's caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 44 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Hungary

Chart 45 The Court's caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 46 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Iceland

Chart 47 The Court's caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 48 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Ireland

Chart 49 The Court's caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 50 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Italy

Chart 51 The Court's caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 52 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Latvia

Chart 53 The Court's caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 54 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Liechtenstein

Chart 55 The Court's caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 56 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Lithuania

Chart 57 The Court's caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 58 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Luxembourg

Chart 59 The Court's caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 60 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Malta

Chart 61 The Court's caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 62 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Republic of Moldova

Chart 63 The Court's caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 64 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Monaco

Chart 65 The Court's caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 66 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Montenegro

Chart 67 The Court's caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 68 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Netherlands

Chart 69 The Court's caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 70 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Norway

Chart 71 The Court's caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 72 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Poland

Chart 73 The Court's caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 74 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Portugal

Chart 75 The Court's caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 76 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Romania

Chart 77 The Court's caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 78 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Russian Federation

Chart 79 The Court's caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 80 Major procedural steps in processing applications




San Marino

Chart 81 The Court's caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 82 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Serbia

Chart 83 The Court's caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 84 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Slovakia

Chart 85 The Court's caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 86 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Slovenia

Chart 87 The Court's caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 88 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Spain

Chart 89 The Court's caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 90 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Sweden

Chart 91 The Court's caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 92 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Switzerland

Chart 93 The Court's caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 94 Major procedural steps in processing applications




“The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia”

Chart 95 The Court’s caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 96 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Turkey

Chart 97 The Court's caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 98 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Ukraine

Chart 99 The Court's caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 100 Major procedural steps in processing applications



United Kingdom

Chart 101 The Court's caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body



Graph 102 Major procedural steps in processing applications

