

Analysis of statistics 2015

January 2016



Table of Contents

Overv	iew of the Court's statistics in 2015	. 4
A.	Number of new applications	. 4
В.	Applications disposed of judicially and pending applications	. 4
C.	Processing of applications	. 4
	1. Pre-allocation	. 4
	2. Inadmissibility or strike out decisions	. 4
	3. Communicated applications	. 5
	4. Judgments	. 5
	5. Requests for interim measures (Rule 39 of the Rules of Court)	. 5
D.	Priority policy	. 5
Genei	ral information	. 6
N	umber of applications allocated to a judicial formation	. 7
Pr	ocessing of applications	.9
Count	ry-specific information	L4
	bania1	
Aı	ndorra1	15
Aı	menia	16
Αι	ustria1	L7
A	zerbaijan1	18
Ве	elgium	19
Во	osnia and Herzegovina2	20
Вι	ulgaria	21
Cr	oatia	22
Cy	/prus	23
Cz	ech Republic2	24
De	enmark	25
Es	tonia2	26
Fi	nland	27
Fr	ance	28
G	eorgia2	29
G	ermany	30
Gı	reece	31
Н	ungary	32
Ic	eland	33
Ire	eland	34
Ita	aly	35
La	tvia	36
Lie	echtenstein	37
Lit	thuania	38
Lu	ixembourg	39
M	alta	10

Republic of Moldova	41
Monaco	42
Montenegro	43
Netherlands	44
Norway	45
Poland	46
Portugal	47
Romania	48
Russian Federation	49
San Marino	50
Serbia	51
Slovakia	52
Slovenia	53
Spain	54
Sweden	55
Switzerland	56
"The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia"	57
Turkey	58
Ukraine	59
United Kingdom	60

Overview of the Court's statistics in 2015

The Court's statistics for 2015 disclose like last year a lower number of incoming cases allocated to a judicial formation. This is partly the result of a new approach to Rule 47 of the Rules of Court, which determines what applicants are required to do for their application to be allocated for judicial decision. However, there is also an indication of a falling off in demand with a lower number of cases coming into the system and in particular a lower number of applications allocated to the Single Judge. This has helped the Court to reduce the stock of pending cases since the number of applications disposed of exceeds the volume of incoming cases.

The backlog of inadmissible cases has been eliminated which explains the decrease in the number of applications decided by the Single judge. As the Court focuses on other categories of cases, productivity will tend to remain more stable, with fluctuations depending on when large groups of cases are processed and adjudicated. This change of focus is reflected in the increase in the number of applications in those other categories (I to V) which were communicated or decided by judgment or decision. 9,999 more such applications were processed in 2015 than in 2014.

A. Number of new applications

In 2015 40,650 applications were allocated to a judicial formation, an overall decrease of 28% compared with 2014 (56,200). 27,050 of these were identified as Single-Judge cases likely to be declared inadmissible (a decrease of 40% in relation to 2014). 13,600 were identified as probable Chamber or Committee cases (a decrease of 19%). It is the second year in a row that the number of allocated cases has decreased (- 15% in 2014).

B. Applications disposed of judicially and pending applications

45,576 applications were disposed of judicially, a decrease of 47% in relation to 2014 (86,068). The number of cases disposed of exceeded those allocated by more than 4,900 (i.e. a monthly surplus of around 400). As a result, the stock of allocated applications pending before the Court decreased over the year, by 7% from 69,900 to 64,850.

C. Processing of applications

1. Pre-allocation

As at 31 December 2015 the number of applications pending at this pre-judicial stage stood at 10,000, a decrease of 48% in relation to the same date the previous year (19,050). The number of applications disposed of administratively in 2015 was 32,400, an increase of 29%. 45% of these files were disposed of under Rule 47.

2. Inadmissibility or strike out decisions

In 2015 43,135 applications were declared inadmissible or struck out of the list of cases by a Single Judge, a Committee or a Chamber, a 48% decrease compared with 2014 (83,680).

The Single-Judge formation decided 36,314 cases in 2015, a decrease of 54% compared with 2014 (78,664).

The number of applications struck out by a Chamber or a Committee, in a decision or a judgment, following a friendly settlement or a unilateral declaration, increased by 111% in 2015 (4,628 compared to 2,198 in 2014). Friendly settlements (1,658) decreased by 2% (1,696 in 2014) and unilateral declarations were multiplied by almost six (2,970 compared to 502 in 2014).

The remainder of the applications were either declared inadmissible by a Chamber or a Committee or struck out by these formations on other grounds.

3. Communicated applications

Where an application is not on its face inadmissible, it is communicated to the respondent Government. In 2015 15,965 applications were communicated (an increase of 102% compared to 2014 when 7,895 applications were communicated).

4. Judgments

Judgments were delivered in respect of 2,441 applications (compared with 2,388 in 2014 – an increase of 2%). A significant proportion of these applications were joined with the result that the number of judgments actually delivered was 823, a decrease of 8%. 177 judgments (in respect of 1,589 applications) were adopted by a three-judge Committee formation. These judgments accounted for 65% of the applications decided by a judgment (59% in 2014).

5. Requests for interim measures (Rule 39 of the Rules of Court)

The total number of decisions on interim measures (1,458) decreased by 25% compared with 2014 (1,939). The Court granted requests for interim measures in 161 cases (a decrease of 25% compared with 216 in 2014) and dismissed them in 630 cases (19% less than the 782 in 2014). The remainder fell outside the scope of Rule 39 of the Rules of Court. 39% of the requests granted are linked to the conflict in Ukraine.

D. Priority policy ¹

The essence of this policy is to concentrate more resources on the most important cases, namely the cases falling within the top three categories ("priority applications"). At 31 December 2015 there were 11,490 applications in these categories.

The number of priority applications dealt with at different stages of the procedure in 2015 increased by 10% compared to 2014. 37% more priority applications were communicated to the respondent Governments in comparison with 2014. The number of priority applications declared inadmissible or struck out of the list of cases by a Chamber or Committee decreased by 35%. The number of priority applications struck out following a friendly settlement or a unilateral declaration decreased from 326 in 2014 to 302 in 2015. The number of priority applications giving rise to a judgment increased by 11% in 2015.

¹ An explanation of the Court's priority policy can be found on the Court's internet site http://www.echr.coe.int/Documents/Priority policy ENG.pdf

General information

Table 1 General statistical information

1. Applications allocated to a judicial formation	2015	2014	+/-
[round figures (50)]		_, ,	-7
Applications allocated	40650	56200	-28%

2. Interim procedural events	2015	2014	+/-
Applications communicated to respondent Government	15965	7895	102%

3. Applications decided	2015	2014	+/-
By decision or judgment	45576	86068	-47%
- by judgment delivered	2441	2388	2%
- by decision (inadmissible or struck out)	43135	83680	-48%

4. Pending applications [round figures (50)]	31/12/2015	1/1/2015	+/-
Applications pending before a judicial formation	64850	69900	-7%
- Chamber and Grand Chamber	27200	29650	-8%
- Committee	34500	32050	8%
- Single-Judge formation	3150	8200	-62%

5. Pre-judicial applications [round figures (50)]	31/12/2015	1/1/2015	+/-
Applications at a pre-judicial stage	10000	19050	-48%
	2015	2014	+/-
Applications disposed of administratively	32400	25100	29%

Number of applications allocated to a judicial formation²

Chart 1 Applications allocated to a judicial formation per year






Chart 2 Applications pending before a judicial formation



_


² Figures represent the total number of applications, including joined applications. The document generally gives round figures. The upsurge in applications allocated in 2002 was due to a change in working methods, transferring much of the screening of inadmissible applications from the administrative, pre-judicial phase to the judicial stage.

Chart 3 High case-count States (more than 1,500 applications pending before a judicial formation)




Total number of pending applications: 64,850

Chart 4 The Court's total caseload by stage of proceedings and judicial formation³



³ The "Pending Government action" category was created to monitor applications following a judgment applying the pilot procedure.

Chart 5 The Court's total caseload by priority category



Processing of applications

Chart 6 Major procedural steps in processing of applications




Chart 7 Number of judgments since 2000




Chart 8 Applications in categories I, II and III processed from 2012 to 2015




Table 2 Applications allocated per Contracting State and population

State	Applications allocated to a judicial formation			Population (1000)				Allocated/population (10000)				
	J.	idiciai i	ormatic	m	(1000)				(10000)			
	2012	2013	2014	2015	1.1.2012	1.1.2013	1.1.2014	1.1.2015	2012	2013	2014	2015
Albania	111	106	82	147	3195	2899	2896	2893	0,35	0,37	0,28	0,51
Andorra	6	2	5	6	85	76	76	76	0,71	0,26	0,66	0,79
Armenia	238	196	156	122	3274	3027	3017	3017	0,73	0,65	0,52	0,40
Austria	377	437	315	263	8443	8452	8507	8585	0,45	0,52	0,37	0,31
Azerbaijan	342	324	402	268	9235	9356	9477	9593	0,37	0,35	0,42	0,28
Belgium	268	270	159	202	11041	11162	11204	11258	0,24	0,24	0,14	0,18
Bosnia and Herzegovina	430	870	667	908	3829	3829	3831	3825	1,12	2,27	1,74	2,37
Bulgaria	1274	1209	928	1031	7327	7285	7246	7202	1,74	1,66	1,28	1,43
Croatia	1905	1840	1096	812	4398	4262	4247	4225	4,33	4,32	2,58	1,92
Cyprus	78	144	55	32	862	866	858	847	0,90	1,66	0,64	0,38
Czech Republic	547	481	370	340	10505	10516	10512	10538	0,52	0,46	0,35	0,32
Denmark	103	84	66	45	5581	5603	5617	5660	0,18	0,15	0,12	0,08
Estonia	301	237	187	190	1340	1320	1316	1313	2,25	1,80	1,42	1,45
Finland	314	315	185	177	5401	5427	5451	5472	0,58	0,58	0,34	0,32
France	1344	1536	1142	1088	65398	65579	65835	66352	0,21	0,23	0,17	0,16
Georgia	367	157	102	80	4498	4498	4490	4490	0,82	0,35	0,23	0,18
Germany	1492	1525	1026	789	81844	80524	80767	81174	0,18	0,19	0,13	0,10
Greece	722 735	728 991	585 2403	457 4235	11291	11063	10927	10812	0,64	0,66 1,00	0,54 2,43	0,42 4,30
Hungary Iceland	10	991	2403	10	9958 320	9909 322	9877 326	9849 329	0,74	0,28	0,86	0,30
Ireland	55	62	33	18	4583	4591	4605	4626	0,31	0,28	0,00	0,30
Italy	3246	3180	5490	1935	60821	59685	60783	60796	0,12	0,53	0,90	0,32
Latvia	286	322	298	246	2042	2024	2001	1986	1,40	1,59	1,49	1,24
Liechtenstein	16	7	12	13	36	37	37	37	4,44	1,89	3,24	3,51
Lithuania	373	428	387	377	3008	2972	2943	2921	1,24	1,44	1,31	1,29
Luxemburg	31	38	23	22	525	537	550	563	0,59	0,71	0,42	0,39
Malta	26	50	39	24	416	421	425	429	0,63	1,19	0,92	0,56
Republic of Moldova	934	1354	1101	1011	3560	3559	3559	3555	2,62	3,80	3,09	2,84
Monaco	5	10	4	9	36	36	36	36	1,39	2,78	1,11	2,50
Montenegro	180	289	158	129	618	623	622	622	2,91	4,64	2,54	2,07
Netherlands	675	778	674	496	16730	16780	16829	16901	0,40	0,46	0,40	0,29
Norway	101	148	141	73	4986	5051	5108	5166	0,20	0,29	0,28	0,14
Poland	4071	3968	2747	2182	38538	38533	38018	38006	1,06	1,03	0,72	0,57
Portugal	217	267	252	233	10542	10487	10427	10375	0,21	0,25	0,24	0,22
Romania	6768	5413	4425	4606	21356	20020	19947	19861	3,17	2,70	2,22	2,32
Russia	10746	12328	8913	6009	143056	143507	143667	146267	0,75	0,86	0,62	0,41
San Marino	1	4	5	4	32	34	34	33	0,31	1,18	1,47	1,21
Serbia	4894	5058	2786	1236	7241	7182	7147	7112	6,76	7,04	3,90	1,74
Slovak Republic	531	464	324	353	5404	5411	5416	5421	0,98	0,86	0,60	0,65
Slovenia	422	496	352	212	2055	2059	2061	2063	2,05	2,41	1,71	1,03
Spain Swadon	694	781	642	553	46196	46728	46512	46440	0,15 0,58	0,17 0,38	0,14	0,12 0,22
Sweden Switzerland	546 326	362 442	272	213	9483	9556	9645	9747	0,58	0,38	0,28	0,22
"The former Yugoslav			304	318	7955	8039	8140	8237				
Republic of Macedonia"	350	535	382	362	2060	2062	2066	2069	1,70	2,59	1,85	1,75
Turkey	8986	3505	1584	2208	74724	75627	76668	77696	1,20	0,46	0,21	0,28
Ukraine	7791	13132	14181	6010	45453	45373	45246	45246	1,71	2,89	3,13	1,33
United Kingdom	1702	908	720	575	62990	63905	64351	64767	0,27	0,14	0,11	0,09
Total	64937	65790	56208	40629	822271	820814	823320	828488	0,79	0,80	0,68	0,49
SOURCES 2014 and 201									the United	d Nations	Statistics	

The Council of Europe member States had a combined population of about 828 million inhabitants on 1 January 2015. The average number of applications allocated per 10,000 inhabitants was 0.49 in 2015.

Table 3 The Court's total caseload by stage of proceedings and judicial formation

State	Single Judge or Committee (cat. VI - VII)	Chamber or Committee - awaiting first examination (cat. I - V)	Communicated	Pending Government action	Admissible	TOTAL
Albania	8	171	228		1	408
Andorra		1	2		1	4
Armenia	54	825	92		7	978
Austria	36	37	61		1	135
Azerbaijan	7	1228	279		8	1522
Belgium	15	282	43		8	348
Bosnia and Herzegovina	73	291	52	420	4	840
Bulgaria	80	531	168		15	794
Croatia	38	244	214		10	506
Cyprus	8	32	17		2	59
Czech Republic	29	58	56		9	152
Denmark	2	17	10		1	30
Estonia	28	30	12		1	71
Finland	6	1	6		1	14
France	85	135	157		11	388
Georgia	16	1991	147			2154
Germany	71	93	42		6	212
Greece	18	666	178		30	892
Hungary	94	3981	471		71	4617
Iceland		13	6			19
Ireland	3	2				5
Italy	202	5614	1697	20	34	7567
Latvia	28	63	63		5	159
Liechtenstein	2	1	2		1	6
Lithuania	80	199	68		16	363
Luxembourg	1	1	5			7
Malta	2	24	21		7	54
Republic of Moldova	69	803	339	1	11	1223
Monaco	- 00	1	2	•		3
Montenegro	39	53	- 76		3	171
Netherlands	50	171	46		6	273
Norway	12	43	6		1	62
Poland	261	585	821		14	1681
Portugal	4	210	103		9	326
Romania	311	1128	1884	165	48	3536
Russia	732	4742	3121	547	65	9207
San Marino		5	1			6
Serbia	114	363	209	451	5	1142
Slovakia	35	110	34	.5.	9	188
Slovenia	30	172	38	1409	5	1654
Spain	23	8	53		3	87
Sweden	16	7	14		6	43
Switzerland	20	61	42		7	130
"The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia"	12	173	67		12	264
Turkey	199	6656	1526		65	8446
Ukraine	208	4160	9439		25	13832
United Kingdom	26	125	95		10	256
ALL STATES	3147	36107	22013	3013	554	64834


Table 4 Unilateral declarations and friendly settlements from 2013 to 2015

State	Unilateral declaration			Frie	Friendly settlement			
	2013	2014	2015	2013	2014	2015		
Albania				1		14		
Andorra								
Armenia								
Austria				1	8	2		
Azerbaijan	3	11	6	11	23	19		
Belgium				3	1	1		
Bosnia and Herzegovina		3	1	176	0	5		
Bulgaria	4	3	5	19	9	4		
Croatia	3	3	9	31	36	36		
Cyprus								
Czech Republic	20	1		12	1	5		
Denmark				1				
Estonia				1		1		
Finland	1	0	1	3	1			
France	2	9	3	2	4	1		
Georgia	3	14	14	11	15	12		
Germany	2	3	2	3	2			
Greece			24	55	54	128		
Hungary	3	1		73	31	61		
Iceland					<u> </u>	<u> </u>		
Ireland		1		3	0			
Italy	44	27	1853	4	9	9		
Latvia		0	2		1	4		
Liechtenstein								
Lithuania	1					1		
Luxembourg	<u> </u>					-		
Malta						2		
Republic of Moldova	21	6	4	8	9	1		
Monaco								
Montenegro		1		19	10	1		
Netherlands	1	1	1	2	4	2		
Norway	•	<u> </u>						
Poland	41	26	33	93	83	113		
Portugal	5	6	7	4	39	31		
Romania	38	64	116	29	51	168		
Russia	102	161	194	39	78	43		
San Marino	102	101	154		, · · · ·	10		
Serbia Serbia	9	52	9	679	1016	291		
Slovakia	4	3	4	23	9	20		
Slovenia	ı	1	1	<u> </u>	1	1		
Spain		<u>'</u>	·	1		1		
Sweden				· ·		2		
Switzerland		1						
The former Yugoslav Republic								
of Macedonia'	48	38	5	55	69	19		
Turkey	27	2	18	86	84	139		
Ukraine	23	63	658	28	36	520		
United Kingdom	4	3		5	12	1		
Grand Total	409	502	2970	1481	1696	1658		


Country-specific information

Albania

Chart 9 The Court's caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 10 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Andorra

Chart 11 The Court's caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 12 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Armenia

Chart 13 The Court's caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 14 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Austria

Chart 15 The Court's caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 16 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Azerbaijan

Chart 17 The Court's caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 18 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Belgium

Chart 19 The Court's caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 20 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Bosnia and Herzegovina

Chart 21 The Court's caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 22 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Bulgaria

Chart 23 The Court's caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 24 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Croatia

Chart 25 The Court's caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 26 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Cyprus

Chart 27 The Court's caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 28 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Czech Republic

Chart 29 The Court's caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 30 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Denmark

Chart 31 The Court's caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 32 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Estonia

Chart 33 The Court's caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 34 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Finland

Chart 35 The Court's caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 36 Major procedural steps in processing applications




France

Chart 37 The Court's caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 38 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Georgia

Chart 39 The Court's caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 40 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Germany

Chart 41 The Court's caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 42 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Greece

Chart 43 The Court's caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 44 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Hungary

Chart 45 The Court's caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 46 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Iceland

Chart 47 The Court's caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 48 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Ireland

Chart 49 The Court's caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 50 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Italy

Chart 51 The Court's caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 52 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Latvia

Chart 53 The Court's caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 54 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Liechtenstein

Chart 55 The Court's caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 56 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Lithuania

Chart 57 The Court's caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 58 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Luxembourg

Chart 59 The Court's caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 60 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Malta

Chart 61 The Court's caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 62 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Republic of Moldova

Chart 63 The Court's caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 64 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Monaco

Chart 65 The Court's caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 66 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Montenegro

Chart 67 The Court's caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 68 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Netherlands

Chart 69 The Court's caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 70 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Norway

Chart 71 The Court's caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 72 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Poland

Chart 73 The Court's caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 74 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Portugal

Chart 75 The Court's caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 76 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Romania

Chart 77 The Court's caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 78 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Russian Federation

Chart 79 The Court's caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 80 Major procedural steps in processing applications




San Marino

Chart 81 The Court's caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 82 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Serbia

Chart 83 The Court's caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 84 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Slovakia

Chart 85 The Court's caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 86 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Slovenia

Chart 87 The Court's caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 88 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Spain

Chart 89 The Court's caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 90 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Sweden

Chart 91 The Court's caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 92 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Switzerland

Chart 93 The Court's caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 94 Major procedural steps in processing applications




"The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia"

Chart 95 The Court's caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 96 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Turkey

Chart 97 The Court's caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 98 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Ukraine

Chart 99 The Court's caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 100 Major procedural steps in processing applications



United Kingdom

Chart 101 The Court's caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body



Graph 102 Major procedural steps in processing applications

